# In Vitro Activity of Ramoplanin against *Clostridium difficile*, Including Strains with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin or with Resistance to Metronidazole

T. Peláez,\* L. Alcalá, R. Alonso, A. Martín-López, V. García-Arias, M. Marín, and E. Bouza

Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Hospital General Universitario "Gregorio Marañón," Madrid, Spain

Received 2 May 2004/Returned for modification 11 July 2004/Accepted 17 November 2004

We evaluated the in vitro activity of ramoplanin, an antimicrobial compound that inhibits cell wall synthesis by acting at the level of lipid intermediate formation, against *Clostridium difficile*. We included strains with reduced susceptibilities to vancomycin (vancomycin-intermediate [Van<sup>i</sup>] strains) or with resistance to metronidazole (Mtz<sup>r</sup>), in order to assess the potential utility of ramoplanin for the treatment of *C. difficile*-associated diarrhea. We tested the activity of ramoplanin against a total of 105 nonduplicate clinical isolates of toxigenic *C. difficile*, including 8 Van<sup>i</sup> isolates and 6 Mtz<sup>r</sup> isolates, obtained from our laboratory. Ramoplanin was active against all strains tested at concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml (MICs at which 50 and 90% of isolates were inhibited, 0.25  $\mu$ g/ml; geometric mean MIC, 0.22  $\mu$ g/ml). All isolates, independently of their levels of susceptibility to vancomycin or metronidazole, were considered susceptible to ramoplanin (MICs,  $\leq$ 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml).

Rates of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea (CDAD) are increasing in hospitals worldwide as a consequence of the widespread use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, and the organism may also be an important cause of community-acquired diarrhea (1, 9, 14, 17). The drugs of choice for the treatment of CDAD are metronidazole (MTZ) and oral vancomycin (VAN).

Our group recently reported on the isolation of MTZ-resistant (Mtz<sup>r</sup>) and VAN-intermediate (Van<sup>i</sup>) C. *difficile* strains (19). The roles of these nonsusceptible strains in clinical failures and relapses remain unknown, but therapeutic alternatives must be sought.

Ramoplanin, a lipoglycodepsipeptide antibiotic obtained from the fermentation of an *Actinoplanes* strain (ATCC 33076), is being developed as an oral, nonabsorbable agent for the gastrointestinal decontamination of patients infected or colonized with VAN-resistant enterococci (12, 15, 24). No cross-resistance between ramoplanin and VAN has been so far described, due to differences in their structures and mechanisms of action (6, 21).

Our objective was to evaluate the in vitro activity of ramoplanin against *C. difficile*, with a special interest in those strains that have reduced susceptibilities to VAN (Van<sup>i</sup> strains) or resistance to MTZ (Mtz<sup>r</sup> strains), in order to assess its potential utility for the treatment of CDAD.

(This study was partially presented at the 43rd Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 14 to 17 September 2003, Chicago, Ill. [T. Peláez, L. Alcalá, R. Alonso, A. Martín-López, V. García-Arias, and E. Bouza, Abstr. 43rd Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., abstr. E-2188, p. 216, 2003].)

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The activity of ramoplanin was tested against a total of 105 nonduplicate clinical isolates of toxigenic C. difficile obtained in our laboratory over a 9-year period (1994 to 2002). Eight of the strains had reduced susceptibility to VAN, and six strains were MTZ resistant. The MICs of VAN for the C. difficile Van isolates were 4  $\mu$ g/ml (six strains) and 8  $\mu$ g/ml (two strains); and the MTZ MICs for the Mtz<sup>r</sup> isolates were 16  $\mu$ g/ml (three strains), 32  $\mu$ g/ml (two strains), and 64  $\mu$ g/ml (one strain).

C. difficile isolates were presumptively identified by their colony morphology, yellow color, ground-glass texture, and characteristic horse dung smell and by Gram staining (16). Additional biochemical tests (Rapid ID 32A system; bio Mérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) were also used. All the strains with reduced susceptibilities to VAN and resistance to MTZ were further identified by molecular methods. A 270-bp fragment of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with specific primers (10). The 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained were compared with those available in the GenBank database by use of the BLAST program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). The presence of C. difficile toxin B was determined by demonstrating a specific cytopathic effect on MRC-5 cells, as described previously (16, 20, 22), either directly from fecal samples or, if the fecal samples tested negative, from pure cultures of the microorganism (3). An enzyme immunoassay system (CdTOX A OIA; BioStar, Louisville, Ky.) was used to detect toxin A in the fecal samples. The test was repeated with pure cultures when a negative result was observed with a clinical specimen tested directly. Large clostridial toxins (LCTs) genes were detected by PCR assays (13, 23). All isolates included at this study were toxigenic as a result of the presence of both LCTs (TcdA and TcdB), as determined by phenotypic and genetic methods.

Ramoplanin (provided by Vicuron Pharmaceuticals) was prepared and stored according to the instructions of the supplier. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by the agar dilution method on brucella agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom), according to the guidelines of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (18). Bacteroides fragilis ATCC 25285 and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741 were always included as reference control strains for quality control for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. A collection strain of *C. difficile* (ATCC 9689) was also included to assess the reproducibility of the assay results.

Colonies were suspended in brucella broth (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, Md.) to a density equal to a 0.5 McFarland standard. The suspensions were applied to the antibiotic plates with a Steers replicator that delivered a final inoculum of

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Servicio de Microbiología Clínica y Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital General Universitario "Gregorio Marañón," C/ Dr. Esquerdo 46, 28007, Madrid, Spain. Phone: 34-1-5868453. Fax: 34-1-5044906. E-mail: terepelaez@teleline.es.

PELÁEZ ET AL. ANTIMICROB. AGENTS CHEMOTHER.

TABLE 1. Cumulative percentages of *C. difficile* isolates inhibited by each concentration of ramoplanin.

				_		
Strain group	No. of isolates	% Isolates inhibited by ramoplanin concn (µg/ml) of:				
		0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5
Total	105	0.95	2.85	16.15	99	100
Mtz <sup>s</sup> andVan <sup>sa</sup>	91	1.09	2.18	13.16	98.87	100
Mtzr	6	0	20	80	100	
Vani	8	0	0	12.5	100	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Strains susceptible to metronidazole and vancomycin.

1158

approximately 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/spot. The plates were incubated in an anaerobic chamber incubator at 37°C for 48 h.

The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the agent that inhibited growth. The appearance of a barely visible haze was disregarded (18). Reference strains (*B. fragilis* ATCC 25285, *B. thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29741, and *C. difficile* ATCC 9689) were included as controls to monitor the results of the antimicrobial susceptibility tests and to assess the reproducibility of the assays. The breakpoints for MTZ were  $\leq 8 \mu g/ml$  for susceptible,  $16 \mu g/ml$  for intermediate, and  $\geq 32 \mu g/ml$  for resistant. We considered the breakpoints for VAN to be  $\leq 2 \mu g/ml$  for susceptible, 4 to  $16 \mu g/ml$  for intermediate, and  $\geq 32 \mu g/ml$  for resistant, as NCCLS has not defined breakpoint standards for VAN. A susceptibility breakpoint of  $\leq 2 \mu g/ml$  was considered for ramoplanin, as preliminarily proposed (5).

# **RESULTS**

The nucleotide sequences of a 270-bp fragment of the 16S rRNA genes of all the strains with reduced susceptibilities to VAN and resistance to MTZ showed identities of more than 99% with the *C. difficile* genome sequences in GenBank.

Ramoplanin was active against all strains tested at a concentration  $\leq$ 0.5 µg/ml. Overall, the MICs ranged from 0.03 to 0.5 µg/ml, the MIC at which 50% of isolates were inhibited (MIC $_{50}$ ) and the MIC $_{90}$  were both 0.25 µg/ml, and the MIC geometric mean was 0.22 µg/ml. The MICs for the isolates susceptible to VAN and MTZ (91 strains) ranged from 0.03 to 0.5 µg/ml, the MIC $_{50}$  and MIC $_{90}$  were both 0.25 µg/ml, and the geometric mean MIC was 0.23 µg/ml. The MICs for the Vani isolates ranged from 0.12 to 0.25 µg/ml, the MIC $_{50}$  and MIC $_{90}$  were both 0.25 µg/ml, and the geometric mean MIC was 0.23 µg/ml. The MICs for the *C. difficile* Mtz<sup>r</sup> isolates ranged from 0.06 to 0.25 µg/ml, the MIC $_{50}$  and MIC $_{90}$  were 0.12 and 0.25 µg/ml, respectively, and the geometric mean MIC was 0.14 µg/ml. The cumulative percentages of *C. difficile* isolates inhibited by each concentration of ramoplanin are shown in Table 1.

All isolates were considered susceptible to ramoplanin independently of their susceptibility to VAN or MTZ.

## DISCUSSION

C. difficile susceptibility tests are not very often performed in microbiology laboratories because to date the first-line drugs, MTZ and VAN, have been considered universally active against the microorganism (4, 5, 9).

There are, however, a few reports of reductions in susceptibilities to MTZ and VAN (4, 7, 25). Our group has already registered a 6% rate of resistance to MTZ, and 3% of our *C. difficile* isolates have shown reduced susceptibility to VAN (19).

Published information (2, 5, 8) showing the activity of ramoplanin against all isolates of *C. difficile* is available for a limited number of strains, but all of those isolates were susceptible to MTZ and VAN. In this study, ramoplanin showed excellent in vitro activity against a large and heterogeneous collection of *C. difficile* isolates. It was also reported previously (19) that our nonsusceptible strains did not have a clonal origin. The activity of ramoplanin did not change when the level of susceptibility to either VAN or MTZ was reduced.

In vitro activity does not necessarily mean in vivo activity, and prospective clinical trials for the evaluation of ramoplanin for the treatment of CDAD are warranted. A recent report, presented in abstract form by Jabes et al. (11), showed the superior efficacy of ramoplanin treatment over that of standard VAN treatment for *C. difficile*-induced colitis in hamsters.

Our data indicate the need for further clinical studies of ramoplanin as a potential alternative treatment for CDAD.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lawrence J. C. Baron and Thomas O'Boyle for review of the English version of the manuscript.

Funding for this study was made available by a support grant (grant PI020897) from the Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

### REFERENCES

- Bartlett, J. G. 2002. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea. N. Engl. J. Med. 346: 334–339
- Biavasco, F., E. Manso, and P. E. Varaldo. 1991. In vitro activities of ramoplanin and four glycopeptide antibiotics against clinical isolates of *Clostrid*ium difficile. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:195–197.
- Bouza, E., T. Peláez, R. Alonso, P. Catalán, P. Muñoz, and M. Rodríguez-Creixems. 2001. "Second-look" cytotoxicity: an evaluation of culture plus cytotoxin assay of Clostridium difficile isolates in the laboratory diagnosis of CDAD. J. Hosp. Infect. 48:233–237.
- Brazier, J. S., W. N. Fawley, J. Freeman, and M. H. Wilcox. 2001. Reduced susceptibility of *Clostridium difficile* to metronidazole. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 48:741.
- Citron, D. M., C. V. Merriam, K. L. Tyrrell, Y. A. Warren, H. Fernández, and E. J. C. Goldstein. 2003. In vitro activities of ramoplanin, teicoplanin, vancomycin, linezolid, bacitracin, and four other antimicrobials against intestinal anaerobic bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:2334–2338.
- Cudic, P., J. K. Kranz, D. C. Behenna, et al. 2002. Complexation of peptidoglycan intermediates by the lipoglycodepsipeptide antibiotic ramoplanin: minimal structural requirements for intermolecular complexation and fibril formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99:7384–7389.
- Dworczynski, A., B. Sokó, and F. Meisel. 1991. Antibiotic resistance of C. difficile isolates. Cytobios 64:149–153.
- Freeman, J., J. Stott, S. Baines, W. Fawley, and M. Wilcox. 2003. Surveillance for resistance to metronidazole, vancomycin or ramoplanin in genotypically distinct and clonal Clostridium difficile strains, abstr. E-2190. Abstr. 43rd Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
  Gerding, D. N. 1989. Disease associated with Clostridium difficile infection.
- Gerding, D. N. 1989. Disease associated with Clostridium difficile infection. Ann. Intern. Med. 110:255–257.
- Gumerlock, P. H., Y. J. Tang, F. J. Meyers, and J. Silva, Jr. 1991. Use of the polymerase chain reaction for the specific and direct detection of *Clostridium difficile* in human feces. Rev. Infect. Dis. 13:1053–1060.
- 11. Jabes, D., C. Candiani, S. Riva, and G. Mosconi. 2003. Superior efficacy of short treatment duration of ramoplanin over vancomycin in the hamster model of *C. difficile* associated colitis, abstr. B-328. Abstr. 43rd Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Jones, R. N., and A. L. Barry. 1989. In vitro evaluation of ramoplanin (A 16686 or MDL62198). A new depsipeptide complex for potential topical use. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 3:279–282.
- Kato, N., C. Y. Ou, H. Kato, S. L. Bartley, V. K. Brown, V. R. Dowell, Jr., and K. Ueno. 1991. Identification of toxigenic *Clostridium difficile* by the polymerase chain reaction. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:33–37.
- Kelly, C. P., C. Pothoulakis, and J. T. LaMont. 1994. Clostridium difficile colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 330:257–262.
- Montecalvo, M. A. 2003. Ramoplanin: a novel antimicrobial agent with the potential to prevent vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infection in high-risk patients. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 51(Suppl. 3):131–135.
- Murray, P. R., E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken (ed.).
  2003. Manual of clinical microbiology, 8th ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.

- Mylonakis, E., E. T. Ryan, and S. B. Calderwood. 2000. Clostridium difficileassociated diarrhea: a review. Arch. Intern. Med. 161:525–533.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 2001. Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria. Approved standard, 5th ed. M11-A5. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- Peláez, T., L. Alcalá, R. Alonso, M. Rodríguez-Créixems, J. M. García-Lechuz, and E. Bouza. 2002. Reassessment of *Clostridium difficile* susceptibility to metronidazole and vancomycin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46:1647–1650.
- Rifkin, G. D., F. R. Fekety, and J. Silva, Jr. 1977. Antibiotic-induced colitis: implication of a toxin neutralized by *Clostridium sordellii* antitoxin. Lancet ii:1103–1106.
- Somner, E. A., and P. E. Reynolds. 1990. Inhibition of peptidoglycan biosynthesis by ramoplanin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 34:413–419.
- Thelestam, M., and M. Bronnegard. 1980. Interaction of cytopathogenic toxin from *Clostridium difficile* with cells in tissue culture. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. Suppl. 1980(Suppl. 22):16–29.
- Wolfhagen, M. J., A. C. Fluit, R. Torensma, M. J. Poppelier, and J. Verhoef. 1994. Rapid detection of toxigenic *Clostridium difficile* in fecal samples by magnetic immuno PCR assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 32:1629–1633.
- 24. Wong, M. T., C. A. Kauffman, H. C. Standiford, et al. 2001. Effective suppression of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species in asymptomatic gastrointestinal carriers by a novel glycolidepsipeptide, ramoplanin. Clin. Infect. Dis. 33:1476–1482.
- Wong, S. S., P. C. Woo, W. K. Luk, and K. Y. Yuen. 1999. Susceptibility testing of *C. difficile* against metronidazole and vancomycin by disk diffusion and E-test. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 34:1–6.